MEP INTERIM REPORT

Evaluation of Cohort 1, Year 1

In September 1996, 95 schools (comprehensive, grant maintained and independent) in England and Wales took part in the first year of *MEP* with their Y10 cohort, involving about 500 teachers and 12 000 pupils. This report presents the main findings of the first year's evaluation, although further detailed analysis on the correlation between various factors will be undertaken with both this data and the data from the GCSE results for Cohort 1, enabling a more comprehensive evaluation to be undertaken and published later in the year.

This report focuses on the four main aspects of the evaluation:

- 1. value added data, showing progress in Y10,
- 2. analysis of the pupil questionnaires, given at the end of Y10,
- 3. analysis of the teacher questionnaires, given at the end of Y10,
- 4. observational and interview data obtained by CIMT.

1. Value Added Data

We encouraged all project schools to use Kassel Project tests at the beginning of Y10 and Y11 so that:

- (i) we would have a measure of pupil progress in Y10,
- (ii) we could compare this progress with the 'standardised' progress made by Y10 pupils in the Kassel Project.

Currently, we have received data from only about one third of our schools, although we do hope that this proportion will be greater for Cohort 2.

The data, though limited, are nevertheless very interesting. We computed each pupil's gain/ loss over Y10 compared with Kassel Project pupils of similar ability and attainment at the start of Y10. The class average gain/loss and the school's overall gain/loss were also computed. The School *Performance Indicators* (PI's) are listed in **Appendix 1**. On the whole, they are positive and give us confidence that in most schools the project is working well and enhancing learning. The PI's were based only on the *Potential* and *Number* test results and as our questionnaire responses indicate considerable gains in algebra, it seems likely that the PI's are an underestimate of the total gains made during 1996/7.

It should be noted that even in the schools with an overall negative PI, there were classes which had positive PI's.

The schools with significant positive (or negative) PI's were given priority on our visits and our findings are reported in the final section.

2. Pupil Questionnaires

The results so far are summarised in **Appendix 2**, although not all the data has been inputted yet. However, the sample size is now large enough to make major changes unlikely.

The responses to the final questions gave us both satisfaction and hope for the future:

E2 Has *MEP* succeeded in raising:

a)	your level of understanding of basic concepts?	YES	84%	NO	16%
b)	your own expectations of what you can do?	YES	75%	NO	25%

We were interested to see that maths lessons had actually changed (see **A1**), although we are concerned about the 6% who responded *Not at all*. There were also positive responses to the *MEP* whole-class teaching style (**A2**) and the responses to working at the board did show that most teachers were putting this recommendation at least partially into practice. There were, though, 15% of pupils who *Never* worked at the board.

The resources seem to have been a great success; for example in **C1e**, 38% of pupils thought that the Pupil Texts were *much better* than their previous books and another 37% *a little better*. They were particularly keen on the:

- worked examples
- clear explanations
- clear layout and setting out of working

in the *Pupil Texts* but did not like the uncertainty of not knowing whether the answer given at the back of the book was correct! They were also keen to have worked examples in the *Practice Books*.

It was interesting to see some pupils *regularly* took the *Pupil Text* home (about 30% - **C1b**) whereas a significant number (40%) *Never* did. This is when correlation with progress will give us some indication about the best use of the resources.

In the open questions, algebra was by far the most popular response to the question, *Which part* of your maths has improved most? (A10) – but note that it was also the most popular response to the following question, *Which part of your maths still needs improving*? (A11).

We were also pleased to note that most pupils have been working harder (**D1**) and gaining confidence in their mathematical ability (**D5**), although 69% thought that they could do better (**D6**) by working *harder*, *concentrating more*, *going to more lessons* and even *getting a different brain*!

One other aspect which deserves a mention is what happens when pupils are absent from a lesson (A12). Note that on average each pupil missed more than 5 lessons during the year and the majority responded with *copied from a friend's book* (34%), *caught up at home* (11%) or *asked a classmate* (9%), while only 10% *asked the teacher*. These responses do not entirely tie up with the teachers' responses to a similar question (see *Section 3*).

Overall, the responses were encouraging and most pupils seem to have a more positive attitude towards mathematics.

3. Teacher Questionnaire

Again, the evaluation was generally very positive. For example, the response to the last question:

D5 In your opinion, has *MEP* succeeded in raising:

a)	your own expectations o	f what your pupils can do?	YES 69%	NO 31%
b)	your pupils' level of	(i) attainment	YES 84%	NO 20%
		(ii) understanding?	YES 82%	NO 18%

does give us confidence that in most schools MEP is working well.

It was interesting to note that all but 6% of teachers thought that their teaching style had changed (indeed 30% by *a lot*!) (A1), although we would have liked to have seen even more mental work and more regular use made of pupils working at the board. We were disappointed that, as yet, sharing experiences was not taking place (over 50% had never been seen teaching or had the opportunity to see others teach). We hope that this will change in the future!

We also note that many teachers felt that there was either a lack of time to use the resources beyond the *Pupil Texts* and *Practice Books* or the resources were too difficult for their particular class. The *Revision Tests* also caused problems, with many teachers adapting or 'picking and choosing' questions rather than using the complete test.

Coming back to the problem of pupil absence, the main response to the question, *If pupils were absent, what help were they given to catch up*? were:

A8	Help given during breaks/lunchtimes	16%
	Individual help given	16%
	Pupil copied from friend's notes.	10%

and these responses were somewhat at odds with the pupils' responses, which seemed to imply that pupils relied mainly on help from classmates. This is a vital issue, not only because maths is a very linear subject but also because the *MEP* teaching philosophy makes the teacher's role even more crucial.

Overall, though, the responses were encouraging and we are pleased that, despite some of the problems of implementation (e.g. too much to cover in the time, end of unit tests too difficult, etc.) a positive view dominated.

4. Observations and Interviews

Regretfully, one aspect of the evaluation, that of observing and discussing issues with pupils and staff, becomes of necessity a lower priority when deadlines for resources have to be met! However, we did manage to visit quite a number of our project schools and were heartened by the welcome we received.

As in the Kassel Project, this is undoubtedly the most enjoyable (and probably most important) part of the project. Although the development of resources has often seemed to dominate, we must keep reminding ourselves that it is the effectiveness of the teaching philosophy which is the most crucial aspect for analysis.

We have seen a range of teaching strategies in the variety of schools visited – many very close to what we were looking for and taking on board most of our recommendations, but others in which *MEP* has clearly made little impact – yes, *MEP* resources were being used but often only the text book and this being used in a conventional way.

We found on our visits that the value added data corresponded to how well or how fully the teaching philosophy was being implemented. This is reassuring but also worrying as there are many schools and/or classes which are **not** following our recommendations and their data will also be part of the overall evaluation of *MEP*. So we are keen to find out just how far the *MEP* teaching philosophy is being taken on board and what are the problems and difficulties hindering effective implementation.

Some of the issues which we feel are important aspects of the philosophy but which we did not always see being implemented effectively are:

- interaction the quality of the questions and your responses to pupils are crucial (asking vague questions can be unhelpful),
- activities variety is so important (if every lesson follows the same structure then it soon becomes boring),
- mental work still important with most sets in Y10 and Y11,
- whole class progression working through exercises one by one, checking everyone's progress and sharing mistakes with the whole class,
- clear objectives for each lesson and summarising the main points at the end.

The use of regular homework (after every lesson) has caused problems in some schools, with senior management not agreeing to the recommended policy. Heads of Department in those schools should keep pressing for this, as it is an important part of the *MEP* teaching philosophy.

One other issue that it is worth noting is the difficulties classes had when using calculators – partly because of the variety of types being used, partly because not every pupil remembers to bring one to school and no spares are available and partly due to pupils' lack of understanding of how to use calculators effectively (e.g. use of the memory button and brackets). It would be so much easier if the school bought class sets (which could be sold to individuals) of the *same* calculator (with enough spares to ensure that every pupil has a calculator each when required). Then instruction from the teacher would be relevant to everyone!

It also became clear that whether or not a school is able to implement the *MEP* teaching philosophy effectively depends on its having:

- strong leadership from the Head of Maths,
- a united department, committed to the teaching philosophy.

However, it should be emphasised that we have seen teaching which has been interesting, stimulating and even inspirational at times and we look forward to seeing even more gains with the second cohort currently completing Y10. We are also encouraged by the fact that many teachers have been quick to change their Y7–9 practice and indeed have found it easier to initiate a new teaching strategy with younger pupils.

Finally, although we have much still to learn about how best to implement the strategy most effectively, our initial evaluation is very encouraging and we look forward with both excitement and apprehension to the next stage.

APPENDIX 1

5

School Performance Indicators

Cohort 1, Year 1

2.4 2.3 2.2 2.2 1.7 1.5 1.4 1.3 1.2 1.1 0.9 0.8 0.6 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.3 0.3 0.2 0.1 0.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 -0.1-0.1- 0.2 - 0.3 -0.4-0.8

MEP INTERIM REPORT Evaluaion of Cohort 1, Year 1

APPENDIX 2

Pupil Questionnaire Summary

Cohort 1, Year 1

U	NIVERSITY	MEP: Secondary Demonstration P.	roject	MEP		
F	EXETER	PUPIL EVALUATION <i>Cohort 1, Year 1</i> 1996–1997		DATE _		
Nam	ie		Date of I	Birth		
MEF	^o class	Route St. A. E. Sp. 24 43 29 4	Maths Teacher	,		
No. o	of Maths Lessons	s missed Expected GCSE Maths grade	Tier of	entry		
A	LEARNING	STYLE in LESSONS	Numbers below	each respon	se are perce	ntages.
1.	Have your mat	ths lessons changed with the introduction of MEP?	Completely 8	A lot 39	A little 48	Not at all 6
2.	Have you enjo	yed the MEP whole-class teaching style?	Very much Sor 10	netimes D 50	on't mind 34	Not at all 7
3.	How often did	you take part in				
	(a) quick-f	ire mental practice?	Every lesson Fr	equently O	occasionally	Never
	(b) working(c) class di	g out solutions on the board in front of the class? scussions?	Every lesson Fr 10 Every lesson Fr 15	equently O 25 requently O 35	63 occasionally 47 occasionally 41	13 Never 18 Never 9
4.	If you thought	of a different way of solving a problem did you tell the class?	Always Sometin 8 32	mes Only	y if asked 47	Never 13
5.	If you made a	mistake did you tell the class/teacher?	Always Sometin 6 24	mes Only	y if asked 42	Never 27
6.	How often hav	e you learned from the mistakes of others?	Every lesson Fr	equently C	Occasionally	Never
7.	If you did not	understand something did you let the class/teacher know?	Always Sometin 18 37	mes Only	y if asked 31	Never 13
8.	Do you make	more effort with your written work than before MEP?	A lot A little 10 27	Much th	he same 7	Not at all 6
9.	How often do	you try not to use a calculator for simple calculations?	Always Freque 10 35	ntly Occ 48	asionally 8	Never 7
10.	Which part of	your maths work has improved most over the year?				
	Algebra (10%)), All of it (7%), Trig (7%), Equations (7%), Fractions (4%),	Mental Skills (4%)			
11.	Which part of	your maths work still needs improving?				

Algebra (17%), Trig (11%), Equations (7%), Fractions (6%), All of it (5%), Timetables (4%)

12. If you missed a maths lesson, how did you catch up? (If no lessons wre missed, write 'none missed'.)

Copied from friend (34%), Caught up at home (11%), Asked teacher (10%), Asked classmate (9%), Did not catch up (9%)

B ASSESSMENT

Г

- 1. How often have you missed doing the homework set?
- 2. How often is your homework marked by the teacher?
- 3. How often is your classwork seen by the teacher?
- 4. How much revision do you do before a test?
- 5. How often have you been you been disappointed with your test results?
- 6. How often do you try to find out where you made your mistakes and do the questions again correctly?

Frequentl 7	y Occasion 26	nally Rarely 48	Never 19
Every hor 27	nework 1/w	eek 42 19	. Never 11
Every less 34	son 1/week	 14	Never 7
A lot	A little	Not much	None
27	53	15	5
Every test	Frequently	Occasionally	Never
10	28	58	4
Always 20	Sometimes 50	Only if told to 28	Never 3

School Pupil

C RESOURCES

1.	Pupi	l Text					
	(a)	Do you take this book home?	After ever	y lesson	Often 11	Rarely 27	Never 37
	(b)	How have you used the text?	Classwork 52	Homewo	ork R	evision 26	Extension 3
	(c)	Does this book explain the maths more clearly than your previous textbooks?	Much bette 39	er A little	better 7	The same 15	e Worse 9
	(d)	What do you <i>like</i> about the text?					
		Worked examples (28%), Explains clearly (19%), Easy to understand	(15%), Way it	is set out (99	%)		
	(e)	What do you <i>dislike</i> about the text?					
		Answers in back sometimes wrong (20%), Nothing (15%)					
	(f)	Did you ever try the Just for Fun, Investigations, etc.?	All of ther	n Often 7	Only	if told to 39	Never 53
2.	Prac	tice Book					
	(a)	Do you take this book home?	Stays at home	After even	ry lesson	Rarely	Never
	(b)	How do you use this book?	Classwork	Homew 60	ork l	Revision	Practice 8
	(c)	Do you ever do extra exercises just for the fun of it?	Often	Occas	sionally 17		Never 81
	(d)	On the whole, how do you find the exercises?	Too easy 4	Just	right 81	То	o difficult
	(e)	How often do you make an effort to learn 'by heart' the <i>Facts to Remember</i> at the front of the book?	Every Unit	Sometimes	Only	if told to 40	Never
I	Further	comments on Pupil books					
P H	ractice Ba	<i>boks</i> need worked examples (15%), Books should be smaller (10%), Very goo evision (8%)	d (8%), Ugly o	covers/colour	rs too bri	ght (8%)	
D	ATT	TITUDE					
1.	Have	e you worked harder in maths this year than in previous years?	A lot 32	A little	Much th 21	e same	Less hard 5
2.	Do y	you look forward to your maths lessons?	Always 4	Most of tin 22	ne Oc	casionally 46	Never 27
3.	Do y	you arrive at the classroom on time?	Always 47	Most of tin 47	ne Oc	casionally 5	Never 1
4.	Do y	ou ever do extra work on your own without being told to?	Often 4	Occasional 20	ly l	Rarely 45	Never 31
5.	Do y	you think you have gained confidence in maths this year?	A lot 23	A little 47	The sam	e Less	confident 8
6.	Do y	ou think you could do better in maths?	YES	Don't Kn	ow	NO	
	If Ye	es, how could you do better? If No, why not?	69	28		3	
6.	Do y If Ye Rev	rou think you could do better in maths? es, how could you do better? If No, why not? ise more (23%), Work harder in class (15%), Concentrate more (14%), Lis	YES 69 sten more (5%)	Don't Kn 28	ow	NO 3	

E REACTIONS TO MEP

1.	(a)	How has MEP helped you most?	(b)	Where do you have mo	ost difficulties?
	+	Understand more (24%), Explanations clear (10%), Confidence increased (8%), Worked Examples (7%)	_	Algebra (9%), Trig (6%),	Homework (6%)
2.	Has	<i>MEP</i> succeeded in raising (a) your level of unders	tanding	of basic concepts?	YES 84 NO 16

(b) your own expectations of what you can do?

YES 75 NO 25

MEP INTERIM REPORT Evaluaion of Cohort 1, Year 1

APPENDIX 3

Teacher Questionnaire Summary

Cohort 1, Year 1

Π	NIVERSITY	M	EP: Secondary Demons	tration I	Project			
U	f					N	AEP	
E	XETER		TEACHER EVAL Cohort 1, Yea 1996 – 97	UATI(r 1	DN	DA	TE	
lam	e		Male/Female	Age Average Range 2	^{e: 42} Experience 2–59	as maths t	eacher ^{Ave} _{Ran}	rage: 15 •••• yrs ge 0–35
1EP	class(es) taught		Route	No.	in class: Avera	ge: 12.4. bo	ys Average	^{12.1} . girls
esso	on time . Average: 49 Range 30–70	ns No. of	lessons per week . Average: 4 Range 2–7	No. of 1	essons in year t	aken by ot	hers	
	TEACHING				N.B Num	bers below resp	oonses are perc	centages.
	Has your teaching style	changed w	ith the introduction of MEP?		Completely	A lot	A little	Not at all
	How often did you use	(a) (b)	whole-class interactive teaching roup work?	ng?	Every lesson 33 Every lesson 2	Regularly 55 Regularly 7	Occasional 10 Occasional 68	ly Never 2 ly Never 22
		(c)	individual work?		Every lesson 27	Regularly 48	Occasional 19	ly Never 5
	(a) How often did y	ou set home	ework?		Every lesson 45	2/week 32	1/week 20	3
	(b) When did you g	o over the h	omework?	2	Every lesson 48	Regularly 40	Occasional 11	ly Never 0
	(c) How often did y	ou go over l	homework at the start of a less	son?	Every lesson 41	Regularly 43	Occasional	ly Never 3
	(d) How often did y(e) How often did p	upils mark pu	their own work?		Every lesson 2 Every lesson	2/week 13 Regularly	1/week 58 Occasional	26 ly Never
	How often did you use	mental prac	tice?		Every lesson	Regularly	Occasional	ly Never
	How often did pupils c	ome to fron	t to work through solutions or	n board?	3 Every lesson	42 Regularly	52 Occasional	3 ly Never
	How often did pupils co	ontribute to	class discussions?		Every lesson	Regularly	Occasional	ly Never
	How often were lessons	s interrupted	l by disruptive pupils?		Every lesson	40 Regularly	9 Occasional	ly Never
	If pupils were absent, w	hat help we	ere they given to catch up?		,	10	05	17
	Help given during breaks	lunchtime (16	i%), Individual help (16%), Cop	y friend's no	otes (10%)			
	If you were absent, what	at arrangeme	ents were made for your class	(es)?				
	Work set in advance by ab	sent teacher (58%), Never absent (9%), Le:	sson covered	l by: maths teach supply cove	er (8%), o er (6%)	ther colleag	ues (8%)
	(a) How often were	your lesson	s observed by other colleague	es?	Frequently	Occasional	y Rarely	Never
	(b) How often did y	ou observe	the lessons of other colleagues	s?	Frequently	Occasionall	y Rarely	Never 62
	(c) Did you share pr	oblems/expe	eriences of MEP with other coll	leagues?	Every lesson 3	Occasional 86	y Rarely 7	Never 3
Cor	nments on MEP Teaching	g Philosoph	y		Please draw a r	ough plan of	your classro	oom below.
	A gree with philosophy (1	4%)			Front	facing with	gaps (74%)	
	Interactive teaching more	+™) favourable (9%	6)		Grou	ps (11%)		
	Improves standards (7%)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			U-sha	ape (6%)		
	Should be introduced at lo	ower end of sch	nool (5%)					

B **TEACHER SUPPORT**

B	TEA	CHER SUPPORT						
1.	Sche	mes of Work			N	early all used nume	erical order	
	(a)	State the order of Units	taught until the	e present one.				
	(b)	Below each unit give the cover the material.	e approx. time	(in weeks) it took to				
	(c)	State the order you wou	ld use when tea	aching the course again.				
2.	Back	ground Notes						
	(a)	How often did you read	them?		Every Unit 1	Frequently Rai	rely Ne	ver
	(b)	If you read them, how o	ften did you fii	nd them helpful?	26 Every Unit 1 10	41 3 Frequently Rai 54 3	19 6 rely Ner 31 2	5 ver 4
	(c)	Was there any informati expected to find that wa	on you s missing?	Applicatio How to use	ns to outside world e resources			
3.	Lesse	on Plans						
	(a)	How often did you use t	hem?		Every Lesson	Frequently	Rarely	Never
	(b)	If used, did you find the	m (i) det (ii) fea	ailed enough? sible in the time available?	Yes 63 Yes 5	Sometimes 30 Sometimes 48	37	No 7 No 46
	(c)	If not used, why not?		Time scales un	realistic			
4.	Activ	vities		Use own lesso	n plans			
	(a)	How many did you use?	,		All of them	Most of them	A few	None
	(b)	If used, how many did y	ou have to ada	pt?	All of them	Most of them	A few	None
	(c)	If used, how often did y	ou use them (i (ii) with the whole-class?i) as individual worksheets?	Every time 36 Every time	Frequently 34 Frequently	Rarely 26 Rarely	Never 4 Never
	(d)	If not used, why not?		Not enoug	h time	23	41	
5.	OH S	Slides		Too diffict	ılt			
	(a)	How often did you use t	hem?		Every Lesson	Frequently	Rarely	Never
	(b)	If used, how many did y	ou have to ada	pt?	All of them	Most of them	A few	None
	(c)	If used, how often did p	upils come to t	he front to write on them?	Every time	Frequently	Rarely	Never
	(d)	If not used, why not?		No overhe Not enoug	ad projector	12		41
6.	Ment	tal Tests						
	(a)	How often did you use t	hem?		Every Lesson	Frequently 50	Rarely 44	Never 6
	(b)	If used, how often did y	ou use them as	(i) written tests?	Every time 30	Frequently 26	Rarely 23	Never 20
		TT C 1'1		(ii) whole-class practice?	Every time 31	Frequently 29	Rarely ?	Never ?
	(c)	How often did you creat	e your own me	ental activities?	Every Lesson 3	Frequently 38	Rarely 38	Never 21
	(d)	If not used, why not?		Not enoug	gh time	upile		
7.	Revis	sion Tests		Unsuitabl				
	(a)	How often did you use t	hem?		Every Unit 56	Frequently 30	Rarely 11	Never 3
	(b)	If pupils did not do well	in a test, did y	ou make them do it again?	Always 7	Frequently 15	Rarely 35	Never 42
	(c)	How often did you adap	t the tests to su	it your own needs?	Every Test	Frequently 35	Rarely 19	Never 18
	(d)	If not used, why not?		Selected qu Too difficul	estions used			
8.	Prac	tice Book Answers	L					
	(a)	Did you photocopy thes	e for pupils to	mark their own homework?	Always	Frequently	Rarely	Never
	(b)	Did you allow pupils to	mark their ow	n work from a copy in class?	Always	Frequently	Rarely	Never
	(c)	If used in another way, please specify.		Teacher mar Read out to	king class to check own a	answers		/1
			L		-			

PUPILS' BOOKS С

1.	Pupi	l Text					
	(a)	Did pupils have a book each?		Yes 92	shared	l Teach	er copy only
	(b)	How often did pupils take the texts home?		Every day	Frequently	Rarely	Never
	(c)	How were the texts used?		Classwork 53	Homework 13	Revision 24	Extension 9
	(d)	(i) Did you use the <i>Just for Fun, Investigations</i> , et	c.?	All of them	Frequently 6	Rarely	Never 29
		(ii) If used, how did you use them ?		Whole class	Individuals	Extension 25	Homework
		(iii) If not used, why not?	Not enoug Too difficu	h time ult			
2.	Prac	tice Books					
	(a)	Did pupils have a book each?		Yes 97	\ldots sharing	Teache	r copy only
	(b)	Where were the books usually kept?		At home	In school 7	Brought i	n for lessons 40
	(c)	How were they used?		Classwork 12	Homework 55	Revision 25	Extension 8
	(d)	If not used, why not?	Enough material in	n <i>Pupil Text</i>			
Fur	ther co	omments on MEP teacher/pupil material Excellent resources (18%))				
		Comprehensive (7%)					
		Too difficult (7%)		50()			
		Worked Examples needed	1 In Practice Books (:	5%)			

REACTIONS TO MEP D

1. Please give brief summary of any feedback you have had from parents.

+	Positive feedback	_	No feedback
	Improving standards		Too rushed
	Stretching pupils		No worked examples in Practice Books

2. Please give brief summary of any feedback you have had from pupils.

Enoy maths more Not enough time Confidence increased Difficult
--

3. How has MEP helped you most? (a)

(b) Which aspects have caused you most problems?

+ Lots of good examples/questions Wide range of materials Lesson plans Change in teaching style	Insufficient time Less able pupils not coping Not enough easy exercises Checking homework
--	---

What further support would you like us to provide? 4.

Foundation / lower level materials and resources
Key link questions identified.

- 5. In your opinion, has MEP succeeded in raising (a) your own expectations of what your pupils can do? YES 69 NO 31 attainment (i)
 - (b) your pupils' level of
- YES 80 NO 20
- understanding? (ii)
- YES 82 NO 18

E INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

INF(DRMATION TECHNOLOGY			
Grap	hics Calculators			
(a)	How confident are you about using graphics calculators?	Very Quite Not very Not at all		
(b)	How many of your pupils have a graphics calculator of their own?	All of them Most of them A few None		
(c)	Since <i>MEP</i> , how often have you taught a lesson which required the use of graphics calculators?	Frequently Occasionally Rarely Never		
(d)	Since <i>MEP</i> , how often have you taught a lesson about the <i>effective</i> use of graphics calculators?	0 2 12 84 Frequently Occasionally Rarely Never		
(e)	Int such lessons, how many different <i>types</i> of calculator were used?	All the same One or two Several No idea		
(f)	In such lessons how many calculators were used?	1 per pupil sharing Teacher's only		
(g)	In which MEP Units did you teach these lessons?	9 6 1 None		
(h)	If you did not teach lessons involving graphics calculators, why not?			
	Not relevant to topics covered Pupils do not have them			
Comp	puters			
(a)	How confident are you about using computers?	Very Quite Not very Not at all		
(b)	Since <i>MEP</i> , how often have you taught a maths lesson which <i>required</i> the use of a computer?	Frequently Occasionally Rarely Never		
(c)	If you taught such lessons how many computers were used?	1 per pupil sharing Teacher's only		
(d)	In which MEP Units did you teach these lessons?	15 28 0 None		
(e)	How often did you encounter technical problems?	Every lesson Frequently Rarely Never		
(f)	How much do you think pupils gained from such lessons?	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 5 & 18 & 15 \\ A \text{ great deal} & A \text{ little} & \text{Not much} & \text{Nothing} \\ 14 & 19 & 4 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$		
(g)	If you did not teach a lesson requiring a computer, why not?			
	Access difficulties Time pressures			
Intere	active Learning Systems (ILS)			
(a)	How much experience have you had of ILS?	Expert Use regularly Very little None		
(b)	If used since <i>MEP</i> , how was it used?	Whole class Part of class Individuals Teacher		
(c	Which MEP Units do you think benefited from the use of ILS?	8 2 1 0 None		
(d)	If used, what do you think are the main benefits/drawbacks of ILS?			
	+ Reinforces concepts Motivation	Cannot be sure work is understood Pupils can become disruptive		
Interi	net			
(a)	How much experience have you had of the internet?	Expert E-mail only Very little None		
(b)	If used, how often do you access the MEP web site?	Daily Weekly Occasionally Never		
(c)	Which pages have been of most use to you and your pupils?	0 1 19 51		
	Puzzzle pages			

What additions to the MEP web pages would you like us to make? (d)

> Statistical data, GCSE revision News groups, Date of information